

Leicestershire and Rutland Safeguarding Adults Board Business Development Plan 2019-20

Priority: SAB1 Effective Multi-Agency meetings / Effective Support Pathways (Joint with Leicester SAB)

Priority Statement: Multi-agency meetings regarding vulnerable adults are effective in supporting safeguarding adults and prevention of safeguarding need

Rationale:

- Lack of clear structure to support practitioners working with adults at risk that do not meet thresholds for Vulnerable Adult Risk Management process (VARM) or safeguarding.
- Practitioners outside of specialised teams are not confident and fully aware of mechanisms available to support them in working with at risk adults outside of VARM/Safeguarding and lack confidence in:
 - Recognising safeguarding need
 - Knowing what appropriate responses are when the threshold for safeguarding is not met.
 - Knowing their responsibilities in relation to MSP/VARM/Multi-agency processes
- Multi-agency meetings regarding adults at risk are not functioning effectively: gaps in attendance; a lack of presence of the voice of the service user or of advocacy; lack of evidence of risk.
- Lack of formal structure to carry out Multi-agency meetings relating to adults at risk.
- Lack of awareness amongst multi-agency practitioners of JAGs and how to access.

What do we want to be different?

Practitioners are more confident regarding risk assessment and working in partnership to safeguard adults.

Multi-agency meetings are effective: Relevant partners and service users contribute; Risks are clearly identified incorporating information from a variety of agencies and the individuals; Clear outcomes and actions are identified and followed up.

Clear guidance and structure for multi-agency working beyond safeguarding enquiries and VARM is in place.

Partnership Lead: Local Authority – Laura Sanderson		Board Officer: Gary Watts		
Key delivery mechanism: Procedures Subgroup				
Objective	What are we going to do?	When is it going to be done by?	Who is responsible?	How will we measure progress and impact?
An effective structure is in place to support multi- agency working to prevent safeguarding need.	Review and report on the current variety and operation of multi-agency meetings considering vulnerable adults / adults at risk including approaches regarding adult exploitation. Develop a self-assessment framework to assess agency awareness of multi-agency approaches and pathways for 'adults at risk'.	Sept 2019	Procedures Subgroup	Process and guidance in place Guidance disseminated to practitioners Feedback from practitioners on awareness of approach and confidence. Review outcomes of cases considered under new
	Develop a process and guidance (considering the Signs of Safety model) for Multi-agency meetings regarding 'adults at risk' where the thresholds/criteria are not met for Safeguarding enquiries / VARM including	Mar 2020	Procedures Subgroup	approach

Multi-agency meetings to	Measure current levels of confidence regarding	Jul 2019	Procedures	Process/guidance in place.
safeguard adults or prevent	safeguarding adults across a variety of practitioners.		Subgroup	Guidance disseminated to
safeguarding need for				practitioners
vulnerable adults are	Develop guidance across multi-agency meetings to			Re-measure confidence
effective in identifying risk	support improved engagement and involvement for all	Dec 2019	Procedures	Audit engagement in
and action to take.	involved in meetings (including service users) and		Subgroup	meetings.
	support the development of risk management and			
	confidence in professional, defensible decision making.			

Priority: SAB2 Mental Capacity

Priority Statement: Be assured that people without capacity to consent are being safeguarded

Rationale:

- The SAB is developing guidance to support practitioners to assess and respond to capacity to consent appropriately and consistently
- The SAB needs ongoing assurance that people without capacity to consent are being safeguarded, including a large cohort of people without capacity, who that are not subject to Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards.
- The Draft MCA amendment Bill outlines plans to replace DoLS with Liberty Protection Safeguards. The MCA amendments Bill is currently passing through parliament and could receive royal assent in April 2019. The move to LPS will result in significant changes to how we work locally to safeguard individuals who lack capacity to consent to care and treatment that amounts to a deprivation of liberty.

What do we want to be different?

- Be assured that people without capacity to consent are being safeguarded
- Support an effective change to LPS locally that safeguards people who do not have capacity to consent.

Partnership Lead: CCG - Ra	achel Garton (Guidance work only) and LIN	Board Officer: Hele	en Pearson		
Key delivery mechanism	: MC Task and Finish Group and Local Improvement N	letwork (LIN) with Bo	bard		
Objective	What are we going to do?	When is it going to be done by?	Who is responsible?	How will we measure progress and impact?	
Respond to the introduction of Liberty Protection Safeguards.	Keep informed regarding the progress and timescales for implementation of Liberty Protection Safeguards. When required initiate work to implement and supplement LPS locally	Mar 2020 As required	Board Office Executive / Local Improvement Network	Update reports into Board. LPS implemented locally in a planned way with clear consideration of safeguarding principles and requirements and local need.	36
Front line practitioners are able to assess and respond to capacity to consent appropriately and consistently	Finish current work to develop and disseminate guidance for practitioners	September 2019	MC Task and Finish Group	Guidance completed and disseminated. Practitioner feedback on guidance. Test implementation	
Be assured that people without capacity to consent are being safeguarded	Case file audit	Mar 2020	Audit Subgroup	Audit findings and follow up actions	

	ploitation			
	ing the recognition and partnership response to 'adult of	exploitation'		
 restricted to criminal, Often a number of pa well co-ordinated. These issues can be 	owth in cases of multiple vulnerable adults being exploited i sexual and financial exploitation. Intership approaches are aware of these people, and there hidden as recent cases suggest that people who are exploi tioners are not always aware of indicators of adult exploitati	may be multiple ways ted are often socially is	to take these forwa	
The public are more aware of earlier. There is a clear route for inv	identifying and responding to adult exploitation of how to identify adult exploitation and inform public agencie olving agencies in a multi-agency approach to adult exploita	ation cases when it doe	es not meet safegua	- -
Partnership Lead: Police –	Matt Ditcher :: Task and Finish Group (with links to Procedures Sub	Board Officer: Sanji	iv Pattani	
Objective	What are we going to do?	When is it going to be done by?	Who is responsible?	How will we measure progress and impact?
Practitioners are aware of	Within review of services and multi-agency approaches (SAB Priority 1) specifically consider services and multi-	Sept 2019	Procedures	Guidance developed
and confident to work as part of the multi-agency approach to adult exploitation	agency approaches regarding 'adult exploitation' to understand what approaches are in place, what partnerships and agencies have an interest or involvement in this and identify gaps.		Subgroup	Case study disseminated Practitioner feedback on guidance
part of the multi-agency approach to adult	agency approaches regarding 'adult exploitation' to understand what approaches are in place, what partnerships and agencies have an interest or involvement in this and identify gaps. Develop a multi-agency approach regarding Adult Exploitation within the broader multi-agency framework being developed (SAB Priority 1)(To be refined to be	March 2020	Subgroup Task and Finish Group	Practitioner feedback on
part of the multi-agency approach to adult	agency approaches regarding 'adult exploitation' to understand what approaches are in place, what partnerships and agencies have an interest or involvement in this and identify gaps. Develop a multi-agency approach regarding Adult Exploitation within the broader multi-agency framework	March 2020 March 2020	Task and Finish	Practitioner feedback on guidance Outcomes in reported cases

Raise public awareness of how to respond to indicators of adult exploitation	Public campaign to promote what to look out for regarding adult exploitation and what to do.	March 2020	Task and Finish Group	Measure number of concerns of adult exploitation raised by members of the public
---	--	------------	--------------------------	---

Priority Statement: Prevention of Safeguarding need through building resilience and self-awareness in adults with care and support needs.

Rationale:

- Effective transition from children's services, such as Looked After Children, Children on Child Protection Plans, and those affected by CSE, may support prevention of adult safeguarding need.
- RiPfA (Research in Practice for Adults) has recently published a strategic briefing outlining learning and challenges regarding safeguarding adults and transitions.

What do we want to be different?

The Board is assured that work with young people who have been assessed as requiring additional support to reduce risk and vulnerability (including LAC, CIN, CP, CSE) assists prevention of adult safeguarding need.

Partnership Lead: Leicester City Council – Teodora Bot		Board Officer: Chris Tew			
Key delivery mechanism: LLR	Transitions Task and Finish Group				
Objective	What are we going to do?	When is it going to be done by?	Who is responsible?	How will we measure progress and impact?	
Be assured that the needs of young people who have been assessed as requiring additional support to reduce risk and vulnerability (including LAC, CIN, CP, CSE) are reviewed and	Develop local guidance for practitioners where victims of child exploitation (i.e. CSE, gangs, county lines, cuckooing, domestic abuse, extremism, modern slavery and trafficking) are transitioning between child and adult safeguarding.	March 2020	Transitions Task and Finish Group	Guidance developed Learning considered by SABs Actions from learning	39
supported in preparation for adulthood. (16+) Build a shared understanding across Leicester, Leicestershire and Rutland (LLR) safeguarding partners about 'safeguarding transitions' where it applies in	Ensure that other relevant groups across LLR i.e. LLR Strategic Partnership Executive group, LLR Exploitation Group, LLR Modern Slavery Action Group, and respective transitions groups across LRR (i.e. City Transitions Board) are briefed on, and aware of, the group's work.	June 2019	Transitions Task and Finish Group	identified and implemented. Assess impact e.g. through audits.	
relation to young adults transitioning from children's safeguarding who have experienced abuse (including where relevant, Looked After Children)	Provide an overview of local and national 'safeguarding transitions' good practice for consideration by the SABs.	January 2020	Transitions Task and Finish Group		

This page is intentionally left blank